Memorandum

To: Bob Bigelow

From: Eric Davis, NIDS

CC: Colm Kelleher, NIDS

Date: 3/23/2001

Re: ***Revision of Memo 2/23/01:*** **Kit Green's professional evaluation of the "Alien Autopsy (from the Roswell Incident)" Film/Video and other related information**

Bob and Colm:

I have learned from recent discussions with Hal that he shared with Kit the contents of my Memo 2/23/01 to you, and together they poured over the points that I summarized regarding Kit's evaluation of the Santilli alien autopsy film/video and his official briefings info on same. Kit then realized that he answered in haste mine and Colm's e-mail questions because he was rushed for time during our correspondences. Kit is taking responsibility for using imprecise language and/or not providing us with the complete or accurate details of his briefings information. So he and/or Hal asked that I correct Memo 2/23/01 by inserting some additional information and make minor modifications to the text. There will be NO changes to the APPENDIX (E-mails #1 - 4). **Newly added text in Memo 2/23/01 will be notated below by a check mark and modified text will be in bold and italicized.**

Since spring 1999 me, Colm and Hal have undertaken a series of back and forth conversations with Kit Green regarding the Roswell Incident aliens, their bodies, the crash retrieval program, the Santilli alien autopsy film/video, etc. The sum of the data Kit has provided us during these conversations has now reached a critical level where we can summarize the following facts:

- ***Kit was briefed three different times during and after his tenure at CIA on topics relevant to UFOs and the Roswell Incident Alien Autopsy:***

  - ✓ Briefing #1: Official briefing at the Pentagon ca. 1981. While Kit was at the CIA, he was briefed on evidence that something (UFOs) was flying around, this evidence was in the form of collected intelligence data. (There was no discussion of alien crash retrieval hardware or bodies in this

venue.) Under additional query to Kit by Hal and Jim Westwood last fall, it was ascertained that description of the briefings met the standard of being an actual "official briefing" (he "signed the papers & received the code words") as this was the phrase Kit had used when discussing this with Hal in the past. This and other questions Kit answered satisfied Hal and Westwood that the briefing was official.

- ✓ Briefing #2: Unofficial briefing at the Pentagon ca. 1987/88. After Kit left the CIA he was called into the Pentagon by a person in uniform. This person showed Kit the alien autopsy photos and reports, etc. The photos of the alien cadaver Kit saw were consistent with the cadaver seen in the 1995 Santilli film/video. Kit was asked to professionally evaluate the material provided to him at this particular briefing.

- ✓ Briefing #3: Official briefing at CIA ca. 1991/92. While at General Motors, Kit was called into the CIA. The CIA people wanted his medical/forensic pathology expertise to examine and analyze some autopsy reports. The nature of these reports was left ambiguous during the briefing, but Kit was fully expecting them to be the alien autopsy reports he saw in Briefing #2. But then no action took place after this briefing occurred and Kit was left hanging.

- ➢ Bill McGarity, and later Colm, contacted Phillip Mantle and arranged for Santilli to get us a 1st generation Betacam video and pieces of the alien autopsy film. This was a result of the meeting and conversations that took place with you, me, Colm and Bill McGarity when Bill came to visit us in June 2000. Colm then had VHS format copies made of the Betacam and I sent a copy to Kit for his professional evaluation.

- ➢ In January 2001 (Appendix – E-mail #3), and then this month (Appendix – E-mail #2 and #1) Kit provided his professional evaluation of the Santilli Alien Autopsy film/video. Note: in Aug. 1999 Kit had provided initial information to Hal about his official briefing, see Appendix – E-mail #4. The summary of Kit's evaluation is:

  - ❖ The Alien Autopsy film/video is real, the alien cadaver is real, and the cadaver seen in the film/video is the same as the ***photos*** Kit saw ***at the Pentagon during briefing #2***.

  - ❖ ***Kit said that*** the alien forensic tissues ***could hypothetically be*** located at Walter Reed–Armed Forces Inst. For Pathology (WR-AFIP) Medical Museum, which is not open to the public and requires a security clearance to get in. Kit only visited there twice in his career. Kit made his visits under escort by the Chief Medical Examiner of the Army, Dr. Charles Stahl. ***There is very good reason to believe***

that the alien autopsy tissues are located at WR-AFIP because all forensic samples are kept there.

- Kit stated that the Army physicians (one surgeon and one non-surgeon) did the procedure seen on the film/video. And they conducted a non-forensic, non-standard autopsy screening procedure. They made some mistakes, which Kit said was understandable or forgivable given the state of Army medical practice of the 1940s.

- The alien is not human. Its brain lacked the gross anatomical structure seen in human brains and liquification of the brain due to decomposition can be seen in the film/video; its heart was three-chambered and was a single contiguous non-muscular vessel with no aorta nor vena cava; a conjoint liver and gut; one lung; no anus, etc.

- There was no in-depth molecular or cellular biology investigation performed on the alien body tissues at the time because the need for conducting routine complex analysis of forensic tissue was not thought of then. Kit is not aware of any microscopic study, blood analysis or biochemistry work done on the alien tissues by WR-AFIP. Mapping or body plan design of the alien body was also not done.

- Kit stated that the justification made by the special access program group(s) (industry + government) for the 54-year secrecy surrounding the Roswell alien bodies and artifacts (and evidence from the later alien landings in 1952 or 53, 1964 and 1984) is a result of *three things*:

  1. Bureaucratic secrecy (using judicially tested national security laws).

  2. Intellectual and political arrogance among the top government officials and scientific geniuses ***(with clout and power)*** that are involved in this ***(because they believe what they read in Machiavelli, Plato and Thomas Paine, and do not believe what they read in Aristotle, Jefferson or Roosevelt)***.

  3. ***It was the right thing to do.***

✓ **Update:** Kit is making contact with Dr. Charles Stahl and will eventually strike up a discussion with him concerning the possible whereabouts of the alien autopsy tissues at WR-AFIP, and if NIDS can get samples to conduct complex biological analysis on them.

APPENDIX

E-mail #1

From: kit.green@gm.com
To: ericdavis@nidsci.org
Date sent: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 14:24:34 -0500
Subject: Returned mail: see transcript for details

Hi Eric: My answers in capitals next to the sections/questions.

Kit

---------- Forwarded by Kit Green/US/GM/GMC on 02/23/2001 05:58 AM ----------

"Dr. Eric W. Davis" <ericdavis@nidsci.org> on 02/23/2001 04:39:52 AM

Please respond to ericdavis@nidsci.org

To: Kit Green/US/GM/GMC@GM
cc:
Subject: Re: your analysis of video

Hi-ya!

Kit:

Regarding your reply (reposted at bottom of this message) on your autopsy video analysis, Colm and I have questions for you.

>From Colm - Basic physiology questions from Colm for Kit on autopsy film/autopsy report.

Colm:
My view of an "autopsy"/or dissection of this type of body would be as a starting point for multiple different levels of subsequent analysis from multiple labs.

Kit:
NOT ARMY PRACTICE. ALL DONE AT AFIP- WALTER REED. EVEN TODAY, BUT ESPECIALLY THEN. THE HISTOPATHOLOGY AVAILABLE THEN IS SIMPLE; TODAY THE DNA SCREENING IS STILL AS GOOD AS IT GETS IN THE AFIP AND WR.

Colm:
In other words a rudimentary dissection in the absence of the best available lab analysis and preservation of tissue/organ samples would be difficult to fathom.

Kit:
THE NEED FOR COMPLEX ANALYSIS WASN'T THOUGHT OF.

Colm:
The questions below are based on these assumptions.
(1) Were tissue/organ samples frozen and kept frozen for later analysis, if so who would have this material?

Kit:
AFIP; ARMED FORCES INSTITUTE OF PATHOLOGY, IN THE MEDICAL MUSEUM. NOW CLOSED TO THE PUBLIC, I VISITED ONLY TWICE IN MY CAREER AND THEN AS PART OF A CLASSIFIED VISIT WITH THE CHIEF MEDICAL EXAMINER OF THE ARMY. ALL FORENSIC SAMPLES ARE THERE.

Colm:
If not, why not? Any evidence of more recent analysis of tissues, especially in the 1980s since the advent of DNA analysis techniques?

Kit:
I HAVE NO IDEA. THE MAN MENTIONED ABOVE, ACTUALLY WAS MY SPONSOR INTO THE AMERICAN ACADEMY OF FORENSIS SCIENCES. CHARLES STAHL, MD, PH.D, LLD AND BOARD CERTIFIED IN THREE MEDICAL SPECIALTIES...ALL FORENSIC. I'LL ASK HIM.

Colm:
Frozen tissue would now be amenable to whole genome sequencing (if the creature had a genome). In addition, cellular tissue, since the mid to late 1960s would have been amenable to generating immortalized cell lines, which in turn could be exhaustively researched at every information level in the cell (DNA, RNA and protein).

Colm:
(2) Can you relate the Santilli videotape in detail to the autopsy reports that you viewed (via your briefings and reports) as being the same or similar creature?

Kit:
SAME

Colm:
(3) From the dissection, was there a detailed schematic/or overall body plan constructed of the organ/nervous system/"blood system"/muscle/bone inter-relationships done,

Kit:
NOPE

Colm:
if so what are the details?

Colm:
(4) A long time ago, you mentioned some gross anatomical differences in brain structure, can you elaborate in detail?

Kit:
NO CORPUS CALLOSUM, NO SYLVIAN FISSURE, NO TEMPORAL LOBE, NO OCCIPITAL LOBE, NO VERNIX, NO CEREBELLEM, NO MEDULLA OBLONGATA, NO RUGAE.

Colm:
Was there any mention of non-biological material in the brain?

Kit:
NOPE

Colm:
(5) You mentioned three-chambered heart in the same message. Was it similar to reptilian design or different i.e. was there aorta/vena cava etc.

Kit:
YES, SIMILAR. NO AORTA AND VC...WAS A SINGLE CONTIGUOUS NON-MUSCULAR VESSEL THROUGH THE HEART.

Colm:
(6) Level of detail under the microscope: Was the creature composed of cellular material? If so, were there any differences in cell-cell contact etc. The basics of human cell organization should have been known by early 1950s so, was the organization of the cell different-nucleus, cytoplasm, mitochondria, subcellular organelles etc?

Kit:
NO IDEA

Colm:
(7) Any "blood" analysis done? Blood cell constituents? Did the creature have white blood cells (and subtypes) and red blood cells? Was hemoglobin present? Any blood proteins-albumin, immunoglobulins (did the creature have an immune system)?

Kit:
DON'T KNOW.

Colm:
(8) Any basic biochemistry done? Subcellular fractionation of organs, protein/enzyme compartmentalization, crude enzymology/enzyme kinetics –the kind of stuff that was popular in the 1950s/1960s.

>From Eric -
1) In your e-mail of Sun, 7 Jan 2001 12:45:08 -0500, you gave your 7-point review of the video and said in point # 7:

"7. the body looked really pretty faked...but I intend to look at the film again on the 27th of January to make a better decision."

So what I wanted to know (yesterday email) is did you come to a final conclusion or opinion on whether or not the body was fake or real? You say below "the video is real", so is that your indirect answer to this question in # 7?

Kit:
ASKED AND ANSWERED. WHAT CAN BE MORE DIRECT THAN 'THE VIDEO IS REAL'...

Eric:
2) Who was the cameraman of the autposy film, were you ever told?
(We've been trying to locate him but it's a long story, he may be dead now. Santilli was burned by the debunking and discrediting, he no longer cooperates with anyone.)

Kit:
NO IDEA

Eric:
3) Who has present possession (or at least possession at the time you were briefed on them) of the body(s)/tissues, reports, film, photos, etc?

Kit:
NO IDEA...I WAS NEVER TOLD THE NAME OF THE GROUP BRIEFING ME...IF INDEED IT WAS AN OFFICIAL BRIEFING BY AN OFFICIAL GROUP AS CONTRASTED TO AN UNOFFICIAL BRIEFING IN AN OFFICIAL PLACE OF A NON-OFFICIAL GROUP. I HAVE NO WAY OF KNOWING.

Eric:
4) Can you say the name of the companies and/or government organizations that sent people to brief you, can you name the individuals who briefed you?

Kit:
NO

Eric:
5) What are the people/organization(s) in (4) doing with all this and what has been the outcome of their work per Colm's questions above?

Kit:
AT THIS POINT I CAN ONLY SPECULATE...AND MY VIEWS WOULD BE NEITHER USEFUL NOR DIFFERENT FROM WHAT YOU ALREADY KNOW.

Eric:
6) Why the excruciating level of secrecy on this stuff - what benefit does it serve the taxpayer, the Congress, the President, national security, "science/human knowledge" to keep this so secret for so long?

Kit:
THE RIGHT TO KNOW BY A BASICALLY NON-MERITOCRIOUS POPULATION IS DISPUTED BY MOST OF THE PLATO-LIKE MINIONS IN THE PROGRAMS THAT ARE REALLY BLACK. NOT JUST THIS PROGRAM.

THERE IS A BELIEF BY MOST PEOPLE IN HIGH EXECUTIVE BRANCH APPOINTED POSITIONS THAT THE AVERAGE PERSON ISN'T ABLE TO UNDERSTAND OR HANDLE THE COMPLEXITY...AND WOULD SCREW THINGS UP BEYOND BELIEF. THEY, AS LONG AS THEY ARE IN CONTROL...BELIEVE IN A SINGAPOREAN GOVERNMENT MODEL OF A LEE KWAN YEW MERITOCRACY; GOVERNMENT BY THE MOST EDUCATED AND ELITE, AND BENEVOLENT DICTATORSHIP...THEY BELIEVE WHAT THEY READ IN PLATO, THOMAS PAINE, MACHIEVELLI....AND THEY DO NOT BELIEVE WHAT THEY READ IN ARISTOTLE, JEFFERSON, OR ROOSEVELT.

Eric:
7) And why the excruciating CC&D disinformation (the heavy, concentrated debunking that befell the video and Santilli when it aired, etc.) active measures to hide it from the public.

Kit:
THAT'S EASY; THE PR FOLKS AROUND SANTILLI (SP) ARE INDEED NOT OPERATING WITH A FULL SET OF DISHES, ARE A LITTLE DENSE, AND NOT VERY NICE TO BOOT. THERE ISN'T MUCH CC&D DISINFORMATION AT ALL...THERE DOESN'T NEED TO BE. I THINK THIS IS A BASIC FLAW IN THE ANALYSIS WE HAVE ALL BEEN DOING. THE ONLY EXPLANATION NEEDED IS SIMPLE: IT IS A LEGITIMATELY CLASSIFIED BLACK PROGRAM, CONTROLLED BY PERSONS WHO HAVE CLOUT AND POWER, ARE VERY SMART, AND

AMONGST THE BRIGHTEST PERSONS ON THE PLANET. THE PROGRAM IS SANCTIONED AND LEGALLY PROTECTED BY THE CONSTITUTIONAL NATIONAL SECURITY JUSTIFICATIONS THAT HAVE ALWAYS BEEN TESTED IN THE HIGHEST COURTS OF THIS LAND TO TAKE PRESCEDENCE OVER THE 1ST AND 4TH AMENDMENTS. THE FACT WE MAY NOT UNDERSTAND, NOR AGREE WITH THE LITTLE WE KNOW, IS NEITHER EXPLANATION NOR JUSTIFICATION FOR OUR NOT HAVING WHAT THEY BELIEVE IS A "NEED TO KNOW" BASED ON OUR "WANT TO KNOW."

KIT

Regards,

Eric

E-mail #2

From: kit.green@gm.com
To: ericdavis@nidsci.org
Date sent: Wed, 21 Feb 2001 10:46:50 -0500
Subject: Re: your analysis of video

Yep.

The video is real. I stick by what I said after the first review.

Additional details: ask me. That is, what do you want to know, and I'll answer to the best of my ability...but I don't have time to write an analysis 75% of which you already know/believe.

Nope: just don't send what I say outside NIDs...

And be sure Bob sees everything I write or say.... You can clean up your questions if you want, or I guess...not show him what you think is a personal issue or question.

Kit

E-mail #3

From: kit.green@gm.com.sg
To: ericdavis@nidsci.org
Cc: hal.puthoff@gm.com.sg, john.alexander@gm.com.sg
Date: Sun, 7 Jan 2001 12:45:08 -0500

March 23, 2001

Subject: Re: autopsy

After reviewing the autopsy film:

1. the prosectors were not pathologists, they were one surgeon and one non-surgeon...both physicians.

2. the body was not human

3. the procedure was non-standard, and not even an autopsy protocol...but seemed like a legitimate "screening" attempt.

4. the procedure was not forensic, and many mistakes were made that would be embarassing today, but assuming the procedure was indeed in 1947 or so, easily explained and forgiven.

5. the body was burned...that is charring, not decomposition.

6. brain liquification had started...but the brain was abnormal in terms of human anatomy.

7. the body looked really pretty faked...but I intend to look at the film again on the 27th of January to make a better decision.

Snip----------

Kit

E-mail #4

From: kit_green@gmr.com
To: Puthoff@aol.com
Copies to: "Kristin B. Zimmerman.US_GM_WRN_TC101"@notes.gmr.com, LNUSTC1.VZZ559@gmeds.com
Date sent: Thu, 5 Aug 1999 09:28:47 -0400
Subject: Re: Fwd: Warning: could not send message for past 4 hours

Halbert:

Snip----------

Yes, I have read actual autopsy reports...I thought I had discussed with you and Jacques in San Diego in the fall of 1988. The problem is: they were real autopsy reports, but could not be authenticated because the prosector's names had been removed...so I had no one to call. So, they were real reports...or "felt" as if they were...unlike the stuff just mentioned above....they had the right context, format, words, patter, pattern, jargon...and had to have

been written by a forensic pathologist M.D.. But they could not be authenticated by me (xeroxes of Xeroxes dontchano) and simple implied an autopsy (gross...no microscopic or biochemical analyses were attached) of a non-human primate...that sure wasn't an animal I had ever heard of. One lung, conjoint liver and gut, three-chambered heart, absent rugae on the brain, no anus, etc.etc..

I destroyed the papers.

From: Puthoff@aol.com on 08/01/99 11:19:42 PM
To: Kit Green, LNUSTC1.VZZ559@gmeds.com
Date: Sat, 31 Jul 1999 13:18:24 EDT
Subject: Sedge Masters?
To: Kit_Green@gmrnotes3.gmr.com
CC: LNUSTC1.VZZ559@gmeds.com

Kit, Kristin,

Just wanted to check. Didn't I send you a pkg of UFO Mag articles by pseudonymous (sp?) author "Sedge Masters," concerning crash/retrievals by a group called Zodiac? If so, still hoping for a readout by you on this, as we have reason to believe the set of stories (3, I think) are only slightly fictionalized versions of a source's experiences writing up records for the archives at WPAFB. Specifically, ever heard of Zodiac, which is supposed to be the true name?

Second question. Colm once mentioned that you had seen "real" autopsy records. Are you holding out on me? :-)

Best regards,
Hal